Northern District of California

United S	States D	istrict	Court
Northern	District	of Ca	lifornia

SUSANNA SHAW,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff,

v.

SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY, et

Defendants.

Case No.: 4:14-cv-00094-KAW

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

Plaintiff Susanna Shaw, who is proceeding pro se, commenced this action on January 7, 2014.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides that "[i]f a defendant is not served 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court . . . must dismiss the action without prejudice against the defendant " In this case, the deadline for service of the complaint was May 7, 2014. As of the filing of this order, however, Plaintiff has not filed a certificate of service indicating that Defendants have been served. Moreover, the docket in this case shows that Plaintiff never presented a proposed summons to the Clerk, and thus, summons was never issued in this case.

Accordingly, the Court hereby orders Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). Plaintiff shall file a written response to this order to show cause by June 11, 2014. Failure to file a response to this order may result in dismissal of this action.

///

///

/// 2.7

28

Case 3:14-cv-00094-JST Document 5 Filed 05/21/14 Page 2 of 3

Northern District of California	

United States District Court

Furthermore, as Plaintiff has not consented to the undersigned's jurisdiction, she shall complete the attached consent/declination form and file it with the Court by no later than June 4, 2014. The initial case management conference currently set for June 6, 2014 is hereby continued to September 30, 2014 at 1:30 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 21, 2014

United States Magistrate Judge

Case 3:14-cv-00094-JST	Document 5	Filed 05/21/1/	Page 3 of 3
Case 3.14-CV-00034-331	Document 3	Filed 03/21/14	raye 3 UI 3

1						
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
3						
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
5		1				
6		Case No. C				
7	Plaintiff(s)	CONSENT OR DECLINATION TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
8	V.	JURISDICTION				
9						
10	Defendant(s).					
11 12	INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate below by checking one of the two boxes whether you (if you are the party or the party you represent (if you are an attorney in the case) choose(s) to consent or decline magistrate judg jurisdiction in this matter. Sign this form below your selection.					
13	☐ <u>Consent</u> to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction					
14						
15	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), I voluntarily consent to have a United States magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in this case, including trial and					
16	entry of final judgment. I understand that appeal from the judgment shall be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.					
17	OR					
18 19	☐ <u>Decline</u> Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction					
	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), I decline to have a United States					
20 21	magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in this case and I hereby request that this case be reassigned to a United States district judge.					
22						
23	DATE:	NAME:				
24		SEL FOR RO SE"):				
25						
26		Signature				
27						
28						